

THE ASSOCIATION OF JET PRODUCTION WITH GEOMETRICALLY THICK ACCRETION FLOWS AND BLACK HOLE ROTATION

D. L. MEIER

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109

Submitted to The Astrophysical Journal Letters.

ABSTRACT

A model is presented in which the strongest radio-emitting jet outflows are produced in black hole systems when the accretion is a geometrically thick ($H/R \sim 1$) inflow (*e.g.*, ADAF, CDAF) *and* if the black hole is rotating. For galactic black hole candidates, the model naturally accounts for the observed correlation of jet outflow with the black hole hard emission state and predicts an association of strong jets with rapid black hole rotation. When extended to the supermassive case, the model accounts for the highest radio galaxy and quasar jet powers and provides additional theoretical support for the “spin paradigm”, which asserts that radio loud quasars are produced by Kerr holes and radio quiet ones by Schwarzschild holes. In some cases, the angular momentum and energy outflow in the jet may be large enough to significantly alter the structure of the accretion flow from that predicted by current models.

Subject headings: black hole physics — galaxies: jets — galaxies: nuclei — hydrodynamics — MHD — quasars: general — radio continuum: galaxies — relativity

1. INTRODUCTION

There is now substantial evidence that, in binary black hole candidate X-ray sources (BHCs), a radio-emitting, jet outflow is produced only when the X-rays are in the hard state. That is, the jet is “quenched” when the dominant accretion disk emission is from soft (2-10 keV) photons and little or no emission is seen in the hard (20-100 keV) region. Sources which display this behavior are GX 339-4 (Fender *et al.* 1999; Fender 1999), GRO J1655-40 (at least in the first few 1994 outbursts; Harmon *et al.* 1995), GRS 1915+105 (Harmon *et al.* 1997; Fender *et al.* 1999), Cyg X-3 (McCollough *et al.* 1999), and Cyg X-1 (Brocksopp *et al.* 1999).

In addition, there is some evidence that those black hole systems which produce a strong jet contain Kerr (rapidly-rotating) black holes, although this result requires additional confirmation. Recent attempts to measure the angular momentum parameter $j \equiv J/(GM^2/c)$ (*i.e.*, “ a/M ” in geometric units) indicate large values in the superluminal sources GRS 1915+105 and GRO J1655-40 ($j = 0.95$) and smaller values in the non-superluminal systems Cyg X-1 and GS 1124-68 (Cui *et al.* 1998). Furthermore, in the supermassive black hole case this “spin paradigm” has useful advantages for explaining the difference between radio loud quasi-stellar radio sources (QSRs) and radio quiet quasi-stellar objects (QSOs) and why giant radio sources occur only in elliptical galaxies (Wilson & Colbert 1995; Meier 1999).

This letter shows that these properties are a natural consequence of black hole accretion and can be explained by combining three current areas of astrophysical theory into a single model: 1) modern disk accretion theory, including geometrically thick inflows at low accretion rate, standard disks at intermediate rate, and thermally unstable disks at high rates; 2) standard jet-production theory, in which the jet power is a strong function of the poloidal magnetic field strength and of the angular velocity of that field; and 3) dynamo theory for the accretion viscosity, in

which the poloidal field strength is a strong function of the disk height. Thin disk flows, despite their rapid Keplerian rotation, do not have strong vertical fields and, therefore, should not produce strong jets. Geometrically thick accretion flows, on the other hand, are expected to have strong vertical fields, but suffer from slower rotation. Only when the disk is thick *and* the geometry also rotates rapidly, as it does near a Kerr hole, do we obtain both strong fields and rapid rotation and, therefore, strong jets.

2. SUPERMASSIVE BLACK HOLES — RADIO GALAXIES AND QUASARS

2.1. Maximum Total Observed and Predicted Jet Power

The maximum observed total jet power possible from supermassive black hole systems will be used to constrain the models for jet production. To do so, the upper envelope of the OLWL diagram (Owen & Laing 1989; Owen & White 1991; Ledlow & Owen 1996) for powerful radio sources is used to give a maximum radio power as a function of the host galaxy’s red optical magnitude. This relation is given approximately by

$$\log P_{rad}^{max} \approx -0.56M_R + 14.9$$

with the radio power P in W Hz^{-1} . Secondly, the Kormendy & Richstone correlation for red magnitude (Kormendy & Richstone 1995)

$$\log m_9 \approx -0.5M_R - 11.3$$

is used to determine radio power as a function of black hole mass, where $m_9 = M_\bullet/10^9 M_\odot$. Finally, a factor of $\sim 10^{-11} - 10^{-12} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$ (Bicknell 1995), corresponding to a fraction $\epsilon \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$ of the jet power emitted in a 10^9 Hz bandwidth, is used to convert radio power to total jet power, yielding the following expression for an estimate of maximum *total* jet power

$$L_{jet}^{max} = 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1} m_9^{1.12} \epsilon_{-2.5}^{-1} \quad (1)$$

Any mechanism of jet production must be able to achieve this maximum power, not only instantaneously but also

sustained over long periods of time, as well as scale roughly linearly with black hole mass.

To compare this observed power with theoretical estimates, jets from both standard disks and advection-dominated accretion flows (ADAFs) will be considered, comparing the results for both Schwarzschild and Kerr black holes. This discussion is similar to that of (Livio, Ogilvie, & Pringle 1999; LOP), except that the jet power from non-spinning and spinning black hole systems will be contrasted, rather than comparing the power from different components (disk and hole) within a single system; and geometrically thick accretion flows will be considered as well as thin disks. As LOP point out, when the hole is spinning, the power generated by the magnetic field threading the disk (the Blandford-Payne process; Blandford & Payne 1982) and that from the field threading the black hole (the Blandford-Znajek process; Blandford & Znajek 1977) will be comparable, at best. However, as pointed out earlier (Meier 1999), even if the BZ process is neglected entirely, the jet power contributed by the field threading the disk alone still will be a function of the black hole spin, since the rotating metric contributes to the rotation of the magnetic field. That is, as viewed from an outside observer at infinity in the Boyer-Lindquist reference frame, the disk angular velocity is a sum of its angular velocity relative to the local metric Ω' (*i.e.*, in the Zero Angular Momentum Observer or ZAMO frame) plus the angular velocity of the metric itself in the Boyer-Lindquist frame $\omega \equiv -g_{\phi t}/g_{\phi\phi}$

$$\Omega = \Omega' + \omega$$

The structure equations for accretion flows (*e.g.*, Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Novikov & Thorne 1973; Narayan & Yi 1995) present a solution for the disk magnetic field which generally is identified with the dominant azimuthal component B_ϕ . However, models and simulations of jet production (Blandford & Znajek 1977; Blandford & Payne 1982; Meier *et al.* 1997) show that it is the strength of the *poloidal* field component ($B_p = [B_R^2 + B_Z^2]^{1/2}$) that is largely responsible for the production of a jet, with the output power given by

$$L_{jet} = B_{p0}^2 R_0^4 \Omega_0^2 / 4c \quad (2)$$

where R_0 is the characteristic size of the jet-formation region, and B_{p0} and Ω_0 are, respectively, the poloidal field and angular velocity of the field in that region as measured by an external observer. Now, LOP have argued, and dynamo simulations tentatively have confirmed (Khanna 1999), that the strength of the poloidal magnetic field is limited by the vertical extent of the turbulent eddies. That is, $B_{p0}/B_{\phi0} \approx (H_0/R_0)^n$, where H_0 is the vertical half-thickness of the disk at radius R_0 , and the exponent $n \sim 1$, yielding an estimate of the jet power of

$$L_{jet} = B_{\phi0}^2 H_0^2 R_0^2 \Omega_0^2 / 4c \quad (3)$$

which can be used to calculate the instantaneous jet power once $B_{\phi0}$, H_0 , and Ω_0 are determined using a particular disk model.

2.2. Jet Power from Standard Thin Accretion Disks

First, standard disks will be considered. For illustrative purposes, the “middle region” solution will be used, where

gas pressure and electron-scattering opacity are dominant. For the Schwarzschild case ($\omega = 0$), a characteristic radius of $R_0 = 7GM/c^2$ (just outside the last stable orbit) will be used, along with the Keplerian angular velocity of $\Omega'_0 = 7^{-3/2}(GM/c^3)^{-1}$. For the Kerr case, since the disk extends inside the ergosphere (inside $R = 2GM/c^2$), a characteristic radius of $1.5GM/c^2$ will be used, along with $\omega_0 = \omega(R_0) \approx 0.6(GM/c^3)^{-1}$. This yields

$$L_{jet}^{Schw-SS} \approx 10^{42.6} \text{ erg s}^{-1} (\alpha_{-2}^{SS})^{-1/10} m_9^{9/10} \dot{m}_{-1}^{6/5} \quad (4)$$

$$L_{jet}^{Kerr-SS} \approx 10^{43.5} \text{ erg s}^{-1} (\alpha_{-2}^{SS})^{-1/10} m_9^{9/10} \dot{m}_{-1}^{6/5} (1 + 1.1j + 0.29j^2) \quad (5)$$

where α_{-2}^{SS} is the viscosity parameter for standard thin disks in units of 0.01, $\dot{m}_{-1} \equiv \dot{M}/0.1\dot{M}_{Edd}$ is the accretion rate scaled to that producing one-tenth of an Eddington luminosity, and the Eddington accretion rate is defined as $\dot{M}_{Edd} = 22M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} m_9$ (assuming 10% accretion efficiency). One-tenth the Eddington accretion rate is used as the scale value since it is roughly the rate at which the low/hard to high/soft black hole state transition is believed to occur (Narayan, Mahadevan, & Quataert 1998).

As LOP point out, the black hole spin makes little difference for standard thin disks. Within the Kerr system, it contributes only about a third of the power. And the ratio of power from a Kerr to a Schwarzschild hole is ~ 20 , with most of this due to the deeper potential well in the Kerr case. Furthermore, the jet power for a $10^9 M_\odot$ hole is limited to $\sim 7 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ — two orders of magnitude below our target in equation (1). So, while Shakura & Sunyaev disks might account for some of the weakest radio sources (in particular, the “radio quiet” QSOs, when there is significant optical emission from the disk), they cannot account for the most powerful radio galaxies and quasars.

2.3. Jet Power from Advection-Dominated Accretion Flows

Next, ADAFs will be considered, as an example of a geometrically thick accretion flow. Note that this case will include coronae of thin disks in which a dominant fraction of the gravitational binding energy of the accreting material is dissipated in the corona rather than in the disk. For these flows $H_0 \sim R_0$, so $B_{p0} \sim B_{\phi0}$. With the ADAF solutions (Narayan, Mahadevan, & Quataert 1998), both the Schwarzschild and Kerr cases yield

$$L_{jet}^{Schw-AD} \approx 10^{44.7} \text{ erg s}^{-1} (\alpha_{-1/2}^{AD})^{-1} m_9 \dot{m}_{-1} f^2 \quad (6)$$

$$L_{jet}^{Kerr-AD} \approx 10^{46.0} \text{ erg s}^{-1} (\alpha_{-1/2}^{AD})^{-1} m_9 \dot{m}_{-1} g^2 (0.55f^2 + 1.5fj + j^2) \quad (7)$$

where $f \equiv \frac{\Omega'_0}{\Omega'_{0N-Y}}$ is the ratio of the actual angular velocity to that calculated by Narayan and Yi, and $g \equiv \frac{B_{\phi0}}{B_{\phi0N-Y}}$ is a similar ratio for the azimuthal magnetic field. Note that, as is customary, the viscosity parameter for ADAFs is now scaled to ~ 0.3 instead of 0.01. While considerably larger than the jet powers calculated for thin disks, these expressions are not simply $(R_0/H_0)^2$ times equations (4)

and (5). This is because ADAF azimuthal fields are weaker than in thin disks, and the rotation rate of the inflowing plasma is somewhat smaller (0.4 Keplerian, as determined by Narayan & Yi). In fact, Ω'_0 is very sensitive to the exact value of the internal adiabatic index: f could be considerably less than unity ($\Gamma = 5/3$ yields $f = 0!$), so the results have been scaled to f^2 . Equation (6), therefore, is probably an upper limit for the jet power from an advection-dominated flow into a Schwarzschild hole.

On the other hand, in the Kerr case, the actual values of $B_{\phi 0}$ may be *higher* than that calculated by Narayan & Yi, since these authors did not take the field-enhancing shear in the Kerr metric into account (see *e.g.*, Meier 1999). It is not unreasonable to expect an enhancement of at least $g \sim \omega(R_0)/\Omega_{0N-Y} \sim 2.3$, with a corresponding factor of 5 or more increase in jet power. This alone would put the Kerr-ADAF jet power for a 10% Eddington accretion rate well above the target of $10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Equation (7), therefore, is likely to be a *lower* limit on the jet power from an advection-dominated flow into a Kerr hole. Unlike the thin disk case, then, the black hole spin in the ADAF case is very important, especially if $f \rightarrow 0$. The ratio of Kerr to Schwarzschild jet power ($\sim 20g^2/f^2$) is potentially 100 or more.

The jet power in equation (7) is a substantial fraction of the accretion luminosity

$$\frac{L_{\text{jet}}^{\text{Kerr-AD}}}{L_{\text{acc}}} = 0.80 (\alpha_{-1/2}^{\text{AD}})^{-1} (0.14f^2 + 0.74fj + j^2) g^2 \quad (8)$$

Consequently, the angular momentum and energy carried away from the ADAF will have a substantial effect on the structure of the inflow, which must be taken into account in the ADAF solutions. (This is in addition to any thermal wind that may be part of an Advection-Dominated Inflow/Outflow Solution or ADIOS which also must be taken into account. See, *e.g.*, Blandford & Begelman 1999.) Indeed, the ratio could easily exceed unity, removing *more* angular momentum and rotational energy from the inflow than it has in the ZAMO frame. This could reverse the spin of the ADAF in the ZAMO frame, giving it *negative* angular momentum, and ultimately spinning down the hole slightly when the accreting matter finally enters the horizon. Such a scenario is quite plausible in Kerr geometry and, indeed, has been seen in magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) numerical simulations of jet production near rotating holes (Koide et al. 2000). This process is a type of Penrose mechanism, with the disk particles scattered into negative angular momentum orbits by a torsional MHD wave (the jet) that, in turn, is “scattered” upward and outward to infinity. Similar in character to the Punsly-Coroniti “ergospheric winds” (Punsly & Coroniti 1990), this process can extract angular momentum and energy from the black hole even without the magnetic field threading the horizon.

To summarize, the most powerful extragalactic jet sources require both a geometrically thick inflow *and* a rapidly spinning black hole to reach the observed maximum jet powers.

3. STELLAR MASS BLACK HOLES — MICROQUASARS

The possible association of black holes having potentially high spin with binary X-ray sources that produce

jets, and the association of the onset of jet production in these systems with a hard emission state, is very similar in character to the need for both spin and advective accretion flow to produce extragalactic jets discussed above. In fact, diagrams drawn depicting this association (Fender *et al.* 1999) can be qualitatively, and to a great extent quantitatively, understood using the above equations. For the disk structure in different accretion regimes, the discussion below will adopt the solutions of Chen *et al.* (1995) and Esin *et al.* (1998). Equations (4) - (7) will be used to calculate the jet powers, with the appropriate scaling of mass and accretion rate. Note that the jet power predicted by these equations is primarily kinetic energy and Poynting flux; the actual radio luminosity emitted will be much less. For example, during the first 1994 outburst in GRO J1655-40, which was thought to have reached accretion rates approaching $\dot{m} \sim 1$, the total jet power is $\sim 10^{38-39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Meier 1996), while the radio luminosity is only $\sim 10^{32} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Harmon *et al.* 1995).

For low accretion rates, $\dot{m} < \dot{m}_A \approx 0.1 (\alpha_{-1/2}^{\text{AD}})^2$ accreting black holes are in the low/hard state — steady accretion with a substantial fraction of the X-rays in the 20-100 keV band. This corresponds to an ADAF solution, which is favored over a thin disk solution in this regime. As the ADAF is stable, and expected to produce a jet power of up to $\sim 5 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1} m_1 \dot{m}_{-1} j^2$ (equation 7), a continuous jet outflow that is roughly proportional to the accretion rate is expected. This is, in fact, seen in several microquasars (Fender 1999). Note that, although proportional to the accretion rate, the power in this model comes mostly from the rotation of the black hole and not from the accretion energy of the infalling matter. However, the efficiency of extracting the rotational energy of the hole is proportional to $\dot{m}$.

For $\dot{m} > \dot{m}_A$ the disk enters the high/soft state (Narayan, Mahadevan, & Quataert 1998), in which the hot ADAF largely disappears and the disk takes on an optically thick, cooler structure similar in nature to the standard (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) thin disk solutions. Here the cool disk is modeled with the Shakura & Sunyaev “middle” region. The expected jet power from such a thin disk with a weak poloidal field (equation 5) is $\sim 5 \times 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1} m_1^{9/10} \dot{m}_{-1}^{6/5}$ — a hundred times weaker than in the ADAF case. This compares well to the jet suppression of > 35 seen in GX 339-4 (Fender *et al.* 1999) when it goes into the soft state, and is some of the best evidence that a strong jet cannot be produced unless the accretion flow is geometrically thick and hot.

For high accretion rates ($\dot{m} \gtrsim 0.5 - 1.0$) the disk enters the “very high state”, in which the disk is very unstable. In GRS 1915+105, for example, the disk goes through cycles of ~ 20 minutes in duration, which begin with a dramatic dip in the soft X-rays, followed by an increase in the hard X-ray emission for several minutes. Just as the hard emission abruptly disappears, a radio jet is ejected, followed by a softening of the spectrum again. A possible explanation for this behavior is the onset of a thermal “limit cycle”, in which the disk oscillates between two unstable accretion states (standard soft and hard ADAF). Detailed modeling of this case by Szuszkiewicz & Miller (1998) suggests that the disk first bloats to a time-dependent, hot, gas-pressure-dominated ADAF-like state; then it drains rapidly into the

hole; and finally a thin radiation-pressure-dominated thin disk re-fills, only to become unstable and bloat again. For a $10 M_\odot$ hole, the period of the limit cycle is on the order of ~ 1000 seconds, with the active ADAF phase lasting only $\sim 1\%$ of that time.

There is some uncertainty in this model, however. Standard disk stability theory (Shakura & Sunyaev 1976) predicts that unstable behavior should occur above the rather low accretion rate of $\dot{m} \gtrsim 0.02(\alpha_{-2}^{SS} m_1)^{-1/8}$, when the innermost part of the disk becomes radiation pressure dominated. Indeed, the unstable disk of Szuszkiewicz & Miller (1998) had $\dot{m} = 0.06$. This rate is so low, however, that it predicts that all disks with $\dot{m} > \dot{m}_A$ should be unstable; that is, there should be no stable high/soft state even in the case of X-ray binaries; at most the disk should be in a cool state for a portion of the limit cycle (20 minutes). This is in direct conflict with the observations: the disk stays in the high/soft state often for months. Using a more careful analysis, Chen *et al.* (1995) derive a modified transition accretion rate of

$$\dot{m}_R = 0.3 (\alpha_{-2}^{SS} m_1)^{-1/8} \quad (9)$$

(minimum of the solid lines in their figure 2), above which limit cycle behavior should set in. Equation (9) agrees well with the observations of GRS 1915+105 and GX 339-4. Note also that the solutions of Chen *et al.* (1995) also predict that unstable behavior should continue for accretion rates well in excess of the Eddington rate ($\dot{m} \lesssim 20$ or so), above which a steady, radiation-pressure-dominated ADAF should set in.

Ascribing a high accretion rate ($\dot{m} \sim 1$) to the hard temporary ADAF state, equation (7) predicts a *short-term* jet power during the very high state of $\sim 6 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1} m_{3/2} \dot{m} g^2$ for a rapidly-rotating black hole. Again, allowing for possible metric-shear enhancement of the magnetic field, instantaneous jet powers well in excess of $10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ in GRS 1915+105 are possible in this model.

4. A GRAND UNIFIED SCHEME FOR ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI

Finally, we turn once again to the supermassive case. The above concepts allow us to begin to build a scheme that unifies radio loud and radio quiet AGN. It is similar to that discussed earlier (Meier 1999), but with the addition of geometrically thick advection-dominated flows.

Since radiation pressure is even more dominant in supermassive black hole disks than in stellar mass ones ($\dot{m}_R \propto m_1^{-1/8}$), it is likely that $\dot{m}_R$ will be less than $\dot{m}_A$ for some large hole mass (from equation [9], for $M_\bullet \gtrsim 7 \times 10^4 M_\odot$). That is, for supermassive holes, a stable high/soft state should not exist. Unlike before in the stellar mass case, the possible lack of a high/soft state is *not* in conflict with observations. A quasar could be in the very high state at the present time and show no signs of limit cycle behavior, as the time scale for the cycle should be 10^8 times longer than the stellar-mass black hole case (several thousand years). Only every few thousand years would a quasar enter a very active phase that lasted a few decades. During

that time a temporarily high accretion rate ($\dot{m} \sim 1$) period may occur, with jet powers also given by equations (6) and (7), while during the quiescent phase the jet powers would be given by equations (4) and (5). The final jet power on the kiloparsec scale (integrated over thousands of years and several limit cycles) would be a sum of the two pertinent equations, weighted by the duty cycle of each phase — that is, approximately equation (6) or (7), with $\dot{m}$ replaced by the accretion rate averaged over the long term.

Accreting supermassive black holes, then, should have only two basic states for each of the Schwarzschild and Kerr cases: low/hard (ADAF) or very high (geometrically thin, radiation-pressure-dominated and unstable flows). We consider the very supermassive ($10^{7-9} M_\odot$ /quasar) case first. The Schwarzschild low/hard case is described only by equation (6), and corresponds to weak radio cores with little or no line emission (“Class B” according to Jackson & Wall 1999). As discussed above, the Schwarzschild *very high* disk case is a linear combination of equations (4) and (6), yielding a long-term jet power of $L_{jet}^{Schw} \sim 5 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1} f^2$ for an ADAF duty cycle of 1% and a $10^9 M_\odot$ hole. In the latter state the hole usually would produce significantly more optical-UV radiation than in the low/hard state, appearing as a radio quiet “Class A” object (QSO). The Kerr low/hard case is described by equation (7), and corresponds to a Class B radio galaxy (either Fanaroff & Riley 1974 [FR] type I or type II without strong nuclear emission lines). The Kerr very high state is again a linear combination of equations (5) and (7), yielding $L_{jet}^{Kerr} \sim 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1} g^2$ for a $10^9 M_\odot$ hole and a 1% duty cycle — a radio loud Class A object (quasar or FR II BLRG) with a jet perhaps hundreds of times more powerful than in the above radio quiet case.

In the moderately supermassive ($10^{5-7} M_\odot$ /Seyfert) case, significant optical emission should be present in both the low/hard and very high states, as that emission would be produced in the middle regions of the disk, which are not affected by state transitions. In the very high state, during the thin-disk portion of the limit cycle, the peak emission would be in the extreme ultraviolet ($\sim 0.1 \text{ keV}$). Such objects should appear similar to the highly-variable, ultrasoft narrow-line Seyfert 1 galaxies (Brandt 2000), whereas in the low/hard emission state they should appear more like the classical hard X-ray selected Seyferts. Their radio jet properties should be similar to those of the quasars above, though 100 times weaker, with the ultrasoft objects producing a jet only when they enter the thick-disk portion of their limit cycle.

The author is pleased to acknowledge the Aspen Center for Physics and workshops there on Astrophysical Dynamics and on Acceleration and Collimation of Astrophysical Jets. He is especially grateful for discussions with R. Antonucci, R. Fender, R. Hjellming, S. Koide, and E. Vishniac. Bob Hjellming was a good friend to many and will be greatly missed. This research was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract to NASA.

REFERENCES

- Blandford, R. D. & Begelman, M. C. 1999, MNRAS, 303, L1.
 Bicknell, G. V. 1995, ApJS, 101, 29.
 Blandford, R. D. & Znajek, R. 1977, MNRAS, 179, 433 (BZ).
 Blandford, R. D. & Payne, D. G. 1982, MNRAS, 199, 883 (BP).
 Brandt, W. N. 2000, in *Highly Energetic Physical Processes and Mechanisms for Emission from Astrophysical Plasmas*, IAU Symp. 195, p. 207.
 Brocksopp, C. *et al.* 1999, MNRAS, 309, 1063.
 Chen, X., Abramowicz, M.A., Lasota, J.-P., Narayan, R., & Yi, I. 1995, ApJ, 443, L61.
 Cui, W. Zhang, S.N., & Chen, W. 1998, ApJ, 492, L53.
 Esin, A.A., Narayan, R., Cui, W., Grove, J.E., & Zhang, S.-N. 1998, ApJ, 505, 854.
 Fanaroff, B. L. & Riley, J. M. 1974, MNRAS, 164, 31P.
 Fender, R. *et al.* 1999, ApJ, 519, L165-168.
 Fender, R.P. 1999, in Black Holes in binaries and galactic nuclei, in press. Available as astro-ph/9911176.
 Harmon, B.A. *et al.* 1995, Nature, 374, 703.
 Harmon, B.A. *et al.* 1997, ApJ, 477, L85.
 Honma, F., Matsumoto, R., & Kato, S. 1991, PASJ, 43, 147.
 Khanna, R. 1999, in *Stellar Dynamos: Nonlinearity and Chaotic Flows*, ed. A. F. Moz & M. Nunez, ASP Conf. Series, Vol. 178.
 Koide, S., Meier, D.L., Shibata, K., & Kudoh, T. 2000, ApJ, in press.
 Jackson, C. A. & Wall, J. V. 1999, MNRAS, 304, 160.
 Kormendy, J. & Richstone, D. 1995, Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap., 33, 581.
 Ledlow, M. J. & Owen, F. N. 1996, AJ, 112, 9.
 Livio, M., Ogilvie, G. I., & Pringle, J. E. 1999, ApJ, 512, 100 (LOP).
 McCollough, M.L., *et al.* 1999, ApJ, 517, 951.
 Meier, D. L. 1996, ApJ, 459, 185.
 Meier, D. L. 1999, ApJ, 522, 753.
 Meier, D.L., Edgington, S., Godon, P., Payne, D.G., & Lind, K.R. 1997, Nature, 388, 350.
 Narayan, R., Mahadevan, R., & Quataert, E. 1998, in *The Theory of Black Hole Accretion Disks*, eds. Abramowicz, Bjornsson, & Pringle (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press).
 Narayan, R. & Yi, I. 1995, ApJ, 444, 231.
 Novikov, I. D. & Thorne, K. S. 1973, in Black Holes, eds. C. DeWitt & B. DeWitt, (New York: Gordon & Breach), p. 343.
 Owen, F. N. & Laing, R. A. 1989, MNRAS, 238, 357.
 Owen, F. N. & White, R. A. 1991, MNRAS, 249, 164.
 Punsly, B. & Coroniti, F. V. 1990, ApJ, 354, 583.
 Shakura, N. I. & Sunyaev, R. A. 1973, A&A, 24, 337.
 Shakura, N. I. & Sunyaev, R. A. 1976, MNRAS, 175, 613.
 Szuszkiewicz, E. & Miller, J.C. 1998, MNRAS, 298, 888.
 Wilson, A. S. & Colbert, E. J. M. 1995, ApJ, 438, 62.